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#### Abstract

The master equation derived for population inversion in a three-level model is solved exactly. The time-dependent behaviour of the process can then be discussed.


Recently, in discussing the problem of the double-reservoir and negative temperature, a master equation has been derived for the three-level model consisting of $N$ threelevel atoms embedded in a crystal lattice heat bath and interacting with a pump lamp heat bath (Zheng and Peng 1982). In terms of the boson representation operators $a_{j}^{(\nu)+}, a_{j}^{(\nu)}$ for a three-level atom (Rai and Mehta 1982), the creation and annihilation operators for pumping field photons of mode $\alpha$ and those for lattice phonons of mode $\beta$, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=H_{0}+V  \tag{1a}\\
H_{0}=H_{\mathrm{A}}+H_{\mathrm{c}}+H_{\mathrm{p}}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{j}^{(\nu)+} a_{i}^{(\nu)} \hbar \omega_{j}+\sum_{\alpha} \hbar \Omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)+} \mathbb{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)}+\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \hbar \Omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)} \tag{1b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{3}>\omega_{2}>\omega_{1} \\
V=\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}\left(a_{3}^{(\nu)+} a_{1}^{(\nu)}+a_{1}^{(\nu)+} a_{3}^{(\nu)}\right)\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)+}+\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)}\right) \\
 \tag{1c}\\
+\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \sum_{\beta} g_{\beta}\left(a_{2}^{(\nu)+} a_{2}^{(\nu)}+a_{1}^{(\nu)+} a_{2}^{(\nu)}\right)\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)+}+\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where we concentrate our attention only on the formation of population inversion.
Under the standard approximation that the relaxation times of the phonon bath and photon bath are much smaller than that of the atomic system, we can always take

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)+} \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)}\right\rangle & =\bar{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)}=\left[\exp \left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\alpha)}\right)-1\right]^{-1},  \tag{2a}\\
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)+} \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)}\right\rangle & =\bar{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)}=\left[\exp \left(\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \hbar \Omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\beta)}\right)-1\right]^{-1}, \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{\mathrm{p}}, \beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the reciprocal temperatures of the reservoirs.
By calculating the transition probabilities with

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=(2 \pi / \hbar)|\langle\mathbf{f}| V| \mathrm{i}\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}-E_{\mathrm{i}}^{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Pauli's master equations can be derived (Van Hove 1960, Landau and Teller 1936, and Bloch 1957). The procedure of deriving Pauli's equation for the above model is similar to that for a spin-lattice system (Zheng and Schieve 1982).

For the three-level model, Pauli's equation for the probabilities $P\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3} ; t\right)$ of $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ electrons being in level 1,2 and 3 at time $t$ can be written as
$(\partial / \partial t) P\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3} ; t\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & q_{\mathrm{p}}\left(N_{3}+1\right) P\left(N_{1}-1, N_{2}, N_{3}+1 ; t\right)+p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(N_{1}+1\right) P\left(N_{1}+1, N_{2}, N_{3}-1 ; t\right) \\
& +q_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{3}+1\right) P\left(N_{1}, N_{2}-1, N_{3}+1 ; t\right)+p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(N_{2}+1\right) P\left(N_{1}, N_{2}+1, N_{3}-1 ; t\right) \\
& -\left(q_{\mathrm{p}} N_{3}+q_{\mathrm{c}} N_{3}+p_{\mathrm{p}} N_{1}+p_{\mathrm{c}} \boldsymbol{N}_{2}\right) P\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3} ; t\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{\mathrm{p}}=\left(2 \pi / \hbar^{2}\right) g_{\Omega_{31}}^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\Omega_{31}\right) \exp \left(-\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{31} / 2\right) / \sinh \left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{31} / 2\right), \\
& q_{\mathrm{p}}=\left(2 \pi / \hbar^{2}\right) g_{\Omega_{31}}^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\Omega_{31}\right) \exp \left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{31} / 2\right) / \sinh \left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{31} / 2\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and similar formulae for $p_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{c}}$, where $\rho_{\mathrm{p}}(\Omega)$ is the density of states for the pumping photons.

Defining the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, y, z ; t)=\sum_{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}} P\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3} ; t\right) x^{N_{1}} y^{N_{2}} z^{N_{3}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have from equation (4)
$\partial G / \partial t=\left[q_{\mathrm{p}}(x-z)+q_{\mathrm{c}}(y-z)\right](\partial G / \partial z)+p_{\mathrm{p}}(z-x)(\partial G / \partial x)+p_{\mathrm{c}}(z-y)(\partial G / \partial y)$.
Its characteristic equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} x / p_{\mathrm{p}}(z-x)=\mathrm{d} y / p_{\mathrm{c}}(z-y)=\mathrm{d} z /\left[q_{\mathrm{p}}(x-z)+q_{\mathrm{c}}(y-z)\right]=-\mathrm{d} t . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One first integral can be easily found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\mathrm{p}} x+\nu_{\mathrm{c}} y+z=c_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\mathrm{p}}=q_{\mathrm{p}} / p_{\mathrm{p}}=\exp \left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \hbar \Omega_{31}\right), \quad \nu_{\mathrm{c}}=q_{\mathrm{c}} / p_{\mathrm{c}}=\exp \left(\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \hbar \Omega_{21}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let
$U=p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right) x+p_{\mathrm{p}} \nu_{\mathrm{c}} y-p_{\mathrm{p}} c_{0}, \quad V=p_{\mathrm{c}} \nu_{\mathrm{p}} x+p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right) y-p_{\mathrm{c}} c_{0}$.
Therefore, from equations (8) and (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t=\mathrm{d} x / U=\mathrm{d} y / V=(m \mathrm{~d} x+n \mathrm{~d} y) /(m U+n V) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To integrate equations (8) we require $m$ and $n$ to be such that equation (12) can be written in the form (Davis 1960)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t=(m \mathrm{~d} x+n \mathrm{~d} y) /[\lambda(m x+n y)+r] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations determining $m, n, \lambda$ and $r$ from equations (12) and (13) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right) m+p_{\mathrm{c}} \nu_{\mathrm{p}} n=\lambda m,  \tag{14}\\
& p_{\mathrm{p}} \nu_{\mathrm{c}} m+p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right) n=\lambda n,  \tag{15}\\
& r=-\left(m p_{\mathrm{p}}+n p_{\mathrm{c}}\right) c_{0} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right)+p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)\right] \pm\left\{\left[p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right)+p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)\right]^{2}-4 p_{\mathrm{p}} p_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \rrbracket\right.  \tag{17}\\
m_{i}=p_{\mathrm{c}} \nu_{\mathrm{p}}, \quad n_{i}=\lambda_{i}-p_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right) \quad(i=1,2)  \tag{18}\\
r_{i}=-\left(m_{i} p_{\mathrm{p}}+n_{i} p_{\mathrm{c}}\right) c_{0} \equiv k_{i} c_{0} \lambda_{i} . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to verify that both $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are positive. The other two integrals are then given from equation (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(-\lambda_{i} t\right)\left[\lambda_{i}\left(m_{i} x+n_{i} y\right)+r_{i}\right]=c_{i} \quad(i=1,2) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that at $t=0$ all the $N$ electrons are in level 1 the initial condition for $G$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, y, z ; 0)=x^{N} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x, y, z ; t)= & \llbracket\left[\left(n_{1} k_{2}-n_{2} k_{1}\right)\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)\right]^{-1}\left\{\left(n_{2} / \lambda_{1}\right) \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} t\right)\left[\lambda_{1}\left(m_{1} x+n_{1} y\right)+r_{1}\right]\right. \\
& -\left(n_{1} / \lambda_{2}\right) \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)\left[\lambda_{2}\left(m_{2} x+n_{2} y\right)+r_{2}\right] \\
& \left.-\left(n_{2} k_{1}-n_{1} k_{2}\right)\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}} x+\nu_{\mathrm{c}} y+z\right)\right\} \rrbracket^{N}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the normalisation condition, i.e. $G(1,1,1 ; t)=1$. When time $t$ goes to infinity, $G(x, y, z ; t)$ approaches

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mathrm{s}}(x, y, z)=\left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{p}} x+\nu_{\mathrm{c}} y+z}{\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1}\right)^{N} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives in the stationary state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle N_{2}\right\rangle /\left\langle N_{1}\right\rangle=\partial_{y} G_{\mathrm{s}} /\left.\partial_{x} G_{\mathrm{s}}\right|_{x=y=z=1}=\nu_{\mathrm{c}} / \nu_{\mathrm{p}}=\exp \left[\hbar\left(\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \Omega_{21}-\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \Omega_{31}\right)\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the condition $\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \Omega_{21}>\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \Omega_{31}$ holds, then population inversion occurs. To discuss the time-dependent behaviour we can use equation (22). For example, we have
$\left\langle N_{1}(t)\right\rangle=\frac{N}{\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+\nu_{\mathrm{c}}+1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{1}{n_{1} k_{2}-n_{2} k_{1}}\left[n_{2} m_{1} \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} t\right)-n_{1} m_{2} \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)\right]\right)$,
and the variance of $N_{1}$
$\left\langle N_{1}^{2}(t)\right\rangle-\left\langle N_{1}(t)\right\rangle^{2}=\partial_{x}^{2} G+\partial_{x} G-\left.\left(\partial_{x} G\right)^{2}\right|_{x=y=z=1}=\left\langle N_{1}(t)\right\rangle\left(1-\left\langle N_{1}(t)\right\rangle / N\right)$.
Furthermore, correlation functions and higher moments can be calculated in a similar way.
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